

## A world sans borders

(Opposite) without continents  
between populations. ①  
Opposite without  
between populations.  
without  
between  
continents.

Man, several thousand years ago, was living a Savage life. He was Nomad, foraging for food in the wild for next meal. He knows only hunting and gathering of food and no social formations such as family. Kinship was present. He didn't have any big aspiration living his life in his own genius.

~~Globalization~~, ~~Nationalism~~,  
~~State~~, ~~Colonialism~~, ~~Capitalism~~,  
~~Globalization~~, ~~Nationalism~~,  
~~State~~, ~~Colonialism~~, ~~Capitalism~~

(Q) As, social scientists have described, agriculture as revolutionary change in course of life of Man. It led to Sedentary life and man started to have family and overall society. Cultural usages and traditions guided his action.

When small tribes began to form chiefdoms as a result of settled life style, the idea of "I, me, myself, us" gave consciousness about "others" existence.

Chiefdoms converted into large kingdoms and invariably into empires, but the exclusive mindset or idea of man didn't change. 17<sup>th</sup> century was defining movement in the history of mankind. State system or

Westphalian system gave birth to the concept of national borders. Before that, trade was happening more or less freely, subject to wars and environment, but state borders created a new kind of trade relationship.

Defined borders arose people's mind regarding their sovereign rights. Majority and minority formed within the borders, which was not present before Westphalian system. It was different groups following their traditional callings and politically autonomous. State systems also brought into ~~the~~ reality, the concept of <sup>National</sup> security and national interest, even while it was just security and interest of single social group.

Present day world is organised into different nations, irrespective of the landmass and diversity, with strong borders. Even walls are planned to be built to further the parochial trend. The national borders are also main reason for ~~conflicts and wars~~

ch like world war I and II. When pakistan ③ was created in 1947, first it was borders which materialized the idea of pakistan before it got into the minds of the people. Still India and pakistan are facing conflicts due to borders.

Borders and ethnicity gives new dimension when read together. But in african continent, many genocide include Rwanda genocide is due to borders and ethnicity are integrated together. Many restrictions in political, social, economic, legal etc are present in modern world, which embraces humanity bigger than their social group, due to borders.

Relationship between different Nations are carried out with borders as reference point. Foreign policy starts when national borders end. Every country furthers its national goal through foreign policy. This

Statement seems to be ironic that "how a nation's goal achieved outside its borders?". But, it should be noted that, foreign policy is manifestation of the fact: 'No one can live in isolation for progress and development'.

World, as a single unit, is natural while borders, created by humans to conduct themselves, is artificially and can be altered too. Globalization which is an intensive process of integrating world, have diminished the importance of borders. people travel to other countries, carry out their vocation or even settle and 'change their nationality' etc. It has integrated the whole world in its own way.

Many see globalization as negative concept because of their emphasis on national borders. Humans should live in natural way, in the sense that, they should follow nature's rule of single human unit rather than ego-centric closed life style.

It doesn't mean that nations <sup>with strong borders</sup>, shouldn't

exist, but "we" as a "single entity" should be given more importance. Ideological change is necessary to evolve such mindset.

What if, the idea of "we"ness comes into mind rather than "I am from so on so country" etc? How the world would function if these ideological boundaries are left behind? Let's see,

World is driven by economic system. People used to talk about "Global economy". If borders in economic system are destroyed, there won't be a need for international agreements, which are biased towards developed nation, no need for "Foreign" investment which destabilize local economy or economic groupings which rob the economic wealth of least developed countries.

Major super powers cannot put strategically placed countries into debt trap for furthering

their own cause or cannot use lines of for political purpose. Even security issue created by a country towards neighbouring country too see its destruction, won't be possible in ideologically integrated economic system. for example, fake currencies for terror funding.

The demon of poverty is root cause for terrorism. Terrorism is global threat and it needs global response. Sad reality is, with parochial mindset dominating the narrative, there is not even consensus on "what is terrorism" among countries. Borderless world (means ideologically integrated and non-parochial mindset - used in following paragraphs) wouldn't allow these kind of things to happen.

Organised crime, human and arms trafficking, nuclear threat wouldn't have present in borderless world. Such kind of world will also increase inter-country

marriages, especially between races, inter-country adoption etc. There used to be transnational tribes such as 'masai' in Central and Southern African nations. They freely moved and maintain good interpersonal relationship. Due to strict borders, their fundamental basis of life (for masai-pastoralism) got destroyed and now they are living as "minorities" in different states waiting for their share in welfare programs of government.

Humans may deny Unity of mankind, but our 'genes' know who we are. ~~but~~ based on ~~base~~ ~~base~~ ~~devoid of genes~~ Jews have more ~~close~~ ~~genes~~ ~~is~~ ~~based~~ genetic similarity with Germans. If this fact was known and Nazis thought of borderless world, Jews wouldn't have lost their life in concentration camps or World wars, which killed more than 30 million people, wouldn't have happened.

There wasn't any need for, or to put it in perspective, no functional necessity for security agencies or agreements like NATO, CENTO etc, which still dominates global politics and influences geo-political situation.

Borderless world would destroy the vestiges of cold war and unify people and nations from both hemisphere of the earth. Policy of containment, strategic position, check points, military exercises will become monumental things in borderless world.

Climate change threatens the whole humanity. Response from 'whole' humankind is appropriate. There is no country, which can escape the illeffects of it. It is appreciable to see the crystallization of Paris climate change deal. But, withdrawal of USA, which is ~~second~~ highest contributor of greenhouse gas

9

nd to the menace of climate change threatens the human survival. Each nation shouldn't think of their own interest. Ethically, USA is wrong and if it didn't realize its historic role, I would prefer to call it as "Ignorance of the millennium".

Countries across world are contributing to Svalbard food vault as much as possible irrespective of their contribution to climate change, because of understanding the threat for humanity. It is one such example, what borderless world will look like? If it happens in all the spheres of world, then humans can be proud of themselves.

"Vasudeva Kudumbakam" is India's message to the world. It is not a value from an ancient land, but a surviving strategy for future. Considering whole world as one family, it will give each one member

of the family, their responsibility and right to act. The integral message is world without borders, which also doesn't mean nation without borders.

Ideological or psychic unity of mankind in all spheres of life is essential to make our earth livable space for our future lineage. While talking in European parliament, Dr. A.P.J. Abdul Kalam has mentioned about a quote given 2000 years back by kaniyam poongundrahaag: "Yaathum Oorae Yaavavum kealeen", which means "I am world citizen, all members of world are my kith andkins".

Such mindset have to be evolved to face challenges of modern day world. Rising protectionism, violence against immigrants, religious wars etc will not happen, if world inherits the values explained above.

(11)

Nations are divided not only by borders, but also by socio, economic and political thinking. Religion has its special place in living the world. If world has to survive, Humanity should be our religion.

Respecting each others cultural, racial affinities, Gender role, political leanings, economic Circumstances etc are important, without which there is no meaning for existence of civilized world. All should also be aware of a fact that, we are most fortunate species who had luck to inhabit the "only" inhabitable planet in sea of Universe.

Lack of compassion, love, respect etc is root cause for major problems of world.

Living harmoniously will lead to good and happy life for all of us. It is imperative to have borderless world to achieve it.

people should really think about " how we are going to make our world more livable and peaceful? rather than " humans survival in mass ". ' Haste makes waste ' is a simple proverb but have deep meaning, especially in above context .

We are not American, Indian, Pakistani or Hindu, Christian, Muslim or rich, poor or Capitalist, communist by birth. We all born as a human beings in a natural setting , where equal opportunity and disability for all predominates. So, having parochial mindset will lead to nowhere except self destruction. Borders in mindset must be destroyed to have problem-less world !!. It should be 'world sans borders' not " borders sans world "

